# Risk Management

Risk Management Framework: Risk Allocation to Committees Financial Risks



#### Review of the Risk Framework

- 'live' review work including the contribution of Internal Audit
- Aim is for a more comprehensive, and consistently applied framework
- For the 2020/21 Council year we will allocate all major risks to Committees for active reporting



#### **Risk Categories**

- Regulatory e.g. non-compliance with legislation
- Environmental e.g. carbon reduction targets
- Financial e.g. financial performance and management
- Reputational e.g. public trust and confidence
- Social Impact e.g. impact on communities
- Service Delivery e.g. effective operations
- Health and Safety e.g. working environment



#### **Risk Types**

**Strategic:** risks that would affect the functioning and operation of the whole corporate organisation

**Operational:** risks that would impact on service objectives and delivery plans within portfolios

**Project Risks:** risks that would impact on the achievement of project on time and on budget and to the planned outcomes e.g. capital projects



## **Active Risk Management**

- 1. Risk identification and exploration
- 2. Assessment of likelihood and impact
- 3. Mitigation and control planning
- 4. Management, monitoring and review



#### Financial Risks 2020/21

- Review the profile of the four principal 'open risks' set out for the financial year ahead as part of the budget-setting process, and share the mitigation planning
- Review in-year budget management and MTFS planning



## Pay (Annual Awards)

- Risk Type: Strategic
- Risk Categories: Financial, regulatory, reputational
- Mitigation:
  - Regulatory: we will implement as pay awards are contractual
  - Reputational: avoid industrial action by implementing
  - Financial: provision to be made in the MTFS
- Impact severity: Significant
- Likelihood: High
- Risk Score: Amber



#### **Out of County Placements**

- Risk Type: Strategic
- Risk Categories: Financial, service delivery, regulatory, health and safety
- Mitigation:
  - Financial: base budget for 2020/21 increased significantly
  - Service Delivery: local strategy for commissioning and direct/partner provision as alternatives
  - Health and Safety: commissioning practice; use of registered care settings
  - Regulatory: as above
- Impact Severity: Significant
- Likelihood: High
- Risk Score: Amber



#### **Post-16 School Transport**

- Risk Type: Strategic
- Risk Categories: Regulatory, financial, reputational, service delivery
- Mitigation:
  - Regulatory: will comply with DoT regulations
  - Financial: proportionate cost sharing with Coleg Cambria to reduce costs which fall on the Council
  - Reputational: manage public reaction by avoiding any shortterm implementation
  - Service Delivery: protecting schools with their post-16 recruitment
- Impact Severity: Critical
- Likelihood: High
- Risk Score: Red



## **School Budget Deficits**

- Risk Type: Strategic
- Risk Categories: Financial, regulatory, service delivery, reputational
- Mitigation:
  - Financial: make provision within the MTFS (future action)
  - Regulatory: robust application of the licenced deficit policy
  - Service Delivery: quality of education to be assured through adequate resourcing within the MTFS for secondary phase
  - Reputational: avoid loss of confidence in school continuity through reversal of a deteriorating budget position (MTFS)
- Impact Severity: Catastrophic
- Likelihood: Extremely High
- Risk Score: Black



#### **In-Year Budget**

- Risk Type: Strategic
- Risk Categories: Financial
- Mitigation:
  - Financial:
    - A well balanced budget has been set
    - Robust in-year financial management disciplines
    - Active management of 'open risks'
    - Adequate level of reserves for uncontrollable events
- Impact Severity: Critical
- Likelihood: Low
- Risk Score: Amber



#### **MTFS**

- Risk Type: Strategic
- Risk Categories: Financial, reputational, service delivery
- Mitigation Plan:
  - Financial: early forecasting of pressures; effective financial management systems and practices; effective capital and revenue co-planning; early capture of strategic and operational issues; effective management of cost pressures
  - Reputational: maintenance of public and regulator confidence through the above actions
  - Service delivery: sufficient resourcing for services through effective forward planning and budget allocations
- Impact Severity: Catastrophic
- Likelihood: High
- Risk Score: Red

